Reconsideration of the application is requested.

With regard to the telephone interview that occurred on May 15, 2008,

applicants affirm the substance of that interview as described in the Interview

Summary that was mailed on May 21, 2008.

Claims 11-18 and 22 are now in the application. Claims 11-18 and 22 are

subject to examination. Claim 11 has been amended and claim 22 has been

added.

Under the heading "Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 103" on page 4 of the above-

identified Office Action, claims 11-18 have been rejected as being obvious over

U.S. Patent No. 6,609,419 B1 to Bankart et al. in view of U.S. Patent No.

6,591,671 B2 to Brown under 35 U.S.C. § 103. Applicants respectfully

traverse.

Referring to Fig. 6 and to column 12, lines 22-62 of Bankart et al., it is seen that

a wheel antenna 2 is mounted on the inner rim 26 of a vehicle wheel 20 and a

fixed antenna 3 is mounted on a mounting bracket 40 located near the vehicle

wheel 20. The wheel antenna 2 and the fixed antenna 3 are separated by an

air gap and they are capacitively coupled. The sensor module 1 is connected

to the wheel antenna 2 via a wire 36, and the wheel antenna 2 capacitively

couples the signal from the sensor module 1 to the fixed antenna 3. The fixed

antenna 3 then feeds the capacitively coupled signal to the relay module 4.

The relay module 4 is preferably configured integral with the fixed antenna 3,

but may alternatively be connected to the fixed antenna 3 by a cable (see

column 13, lines 1-6).

Claim 11, among others, includes the following limitations:

a plurality of transmitters each having at least one transmitter coupling

element emitting primarily an electric near field;

an infrastructure body having an electrically conducting conductor

element electrically insulated from ground, said conductor element

coupling in the electric field emitted by said transmitter coupling element;

and

a central receiver having a receiver coupling element coupling out the

electric field from said conductor element.

Let us be clear about what is being defined in the copied portions of claim 11.

A transmitter coupling element emits primarily an electric near field, an

electrically conducting conductor element couples in the electric field emitted by

said transmitter coupling element, and a receiver coupling element couples out

the electric field from said conductor element. This concept is shown in Fig. 1,

for example.

In the "Response to Arguments" section of the Office action, the Examiner has

stated, "Applicant also argues that Bankart et al. teach the electric field is

directly coupled between the wheel antenna 2 and the fixed antenna 3.

However, Bankart et al. teach the use of conducting plate antenna separated

by an air gap. The electric potential on one plate produces a localized electric

field that induces a potential on the other (column 7, lines 30-46). The plates

themselves can be protected by being covered by an insulating material, read

as the body of the vehicle, which is of metal and is electrically conducting."

Applicant is not sure what the Examiner is trying to say here and would

appreciate clarification. Applicants interpret the statement made by the

Examiner as meaning that the Examiner is interpreting the plates, which form

the wheel antenna 2 and the fixed antenna 3, as being part of the infrastructure

body. If that is in fact the case, the teaching still does not show the invention

as defined by claim 11. If the wheel antenna 2 and the fixed antenna 3 are

interpreted as being part of the infrastructure body, then there is no structure

that could be compared with the transmitter coupling element and the receiver

coupling element that are each defined in claim 11.

Alternatively, if the wheel antenna 2 is compared with the claimed transmitter

coupling element and the fixed antenna 3 is compared with the claimed

receiver coupling element, then there is no structure that could be compared

with the claimed electrically conducting conductor element of the infrastructure

body. Applicants note that the air between the wheel antenna 2 and the fixed

antenna 3 cannot be compared with the claimed electrically conducting

conductor element of the infrastructure body. Therefore, even if there were a

suggestion to modify the teaching in Bankart et al. by providing a plurality of transmitters as the Examiner has alleged is suggested by Brown, the invention as defined by claim 11 would not have been obtained.

Claim 11 has been amended to even further distinguish the invention from the prior art. Claim 11 now includes the limitation: wherein said transmitter coupling element does not contact said conductor element. Support for the change can be found by referring to the translated specification at page 7, lines 4-10, for example, which explains that there is a gap between the coupling element and the conductor element. The amendment to claim 11 eliminates the possibility that the Examiner could attempt to read the wheel bearing and the supporting structure contacting the wheel bearing of Bankart et al. on the claimed transmitter coupling element and conductor element, which do not contact each other.

Claim 22 has been added to even further distinguish the invention from the prior art. Support for the changes can be found by referring to the translated specification at page 7, line 1 through page 8, line 2 and to Fig. 1. Additional support may be found by referring to the translated specification at page 12, line 24 through page 13, line 2 and at page 7, line 20 through page 8, line 2, and also to Fig. 3. Note the coupling capacitances  $C_B$  shown in Fig. 1 and the coupling capacitances  $C_{B1-4}$  shown in Fig. 3 that couple a current to the conductive floor/ground.

Claim 22 includes the following limitations: the ground is a ground surface

supporting the tires of the motor vehicle; and said plurality of transmitters and

said central receiver are capacitively coupled to the ground surface supporting

the tires of the motor vehicle such that a closed circuit is formed by said

plurality of transmitters, said central receiver, said infrastructure body, and the

ground surface.

In Bankart et al., the wheel antenna 2 and the fixed antenna 3 are separated by

an air gap and they are capacitively coupled together, forming one branch of a

closed circuit. The other branch of the closed circuit is formed by the wheel

bearing and other parts of the body of the vehicle (see column 12, lines 37-42).

It should be clear that one path of the closed circuit in Bankart et al. is formed

by the wheel antenna 2 and the fixed antenna 3, while the other path of the

closed circuit in Bankart et al. is formed by the wheel bearing and other parts of

the body of the vehicle.

Bankart et al. do not teach the limitations of claim 22. Nothing in Bankart et al.

is capacitively coupled to the ground surface supporting the tires of the motor

vehicle, and the ground surface supporting the tires of the motor vehicle in

Bankart et al. does not form part of a closed circuit.

It is accordingly believed to be clear that none of the references, whether taken

alone or in any combination, either show or suggest the features of claim 11.

Claim 11 is, therefore, believed to be patentable over the art. The dependent

Appl. No. 10/530,968 Amdt. Dated June 9, 2008

Reply to Office Action of April 7, 2008

claims are believed to be patentable as well because they all are ultimately

dependent on claim 11.

In view of the foregoing, reconsideration and allowance of claims 11-18 and 22

are solicited.

In the event the Examiner should still find any of the claims to be unpatentable,

counsel would appreciate receiving a telephone call so that, if possible,

patentable language can be worked out.

Please charge any fees that might be due with respect to Sections 1.16 and

1.17 to the Deposit Account of Lerner Greenberg Stemer LLP, No. 12-1099.

Respectfully submitted,

/Werner H. Stemer/

Werner H. Stemer

(Reg. No. 34,956)

MPW:cgm

June 9, 2008

Lerner Greenberg Stemer LLP

P.O. Box 2480

Hollywood, Florida 33022-2480

Tel.: (954) 925-1100

Fax: (954) 925-1101